

Lacunary Differentiability of Functions in \mathbb{R}^n

CALIXTO P. CALDERON

*Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois,
Chicago, Illinois 60680, USA*

Communicated by Antoni Zygmund

Received March 31, 1983

The existence of directional derivatives of functions in the Sobolev spaces $L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is studied. The novelty consists in calculating them through lacunary incremental quotients. Under these conditions no restrictions on p are necessary; the condition $p > (n/k)$ can be dropped.

0. INTRODUCTION

Several authors have studied the existence of differentials in the Stolz sense (total differentials) of functions in the Sobolev spaces $L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. (Here we use the notation of [7].) There are well-known classical results in this direction. Namely: If $f \in L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p > (n/k)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, then f possesses a total differential of order k almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^n . In other words, if $\Delta f(x)$ denotes $f(x+h) - f(x)$ and $\Delta_n^k f(x) = \Delta_h(\Delta_h^{k-1} f(x))$, then

$$\lim_{|h| \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\Delta_h^k f(x) - \sum_{|\alpha|=k} h^\alpha D^\alpha f(x)|}{|h|^k} = 0$$

a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n .

If $p < n/k$, then one can construct a function in $L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that is discontinuous every where. See [2, 5, 7, 9].

The last result was considerably refined in papers [1] and [3].

Paper [1] characterizes the Sobolev–Orlicz classes of functions having the property that all their functions possess a total differential of order 1 almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^n .

Paper [3] extends this to the case $k > 1$. More precisely, we have: Let $\Psi(t)$ be non-negative, continuous, convex and increasing in $t \geq 0$. Consider the class $\text{Loc } L_k^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of functions in \mathbb{R}^n whose distributional derivatives $D^\alpha f$ satisfy

$$\int_{\text{Loc}} \Psi(|D^\alpha f|) dx < \infty, \quad |\alpha| \leq k, \quad (0.1)$$

where $1 \leq k < n$.

If

$$\int_1^\infty \left[\frac{t}{\Psi(t)} \right]^{k/(n-k)} dt < \infty, \tag{0.2}$$

then the functions of $\text{Loc } L_k^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ possess a total differential of order k almost every where in \mathbb{R}^n .

Conversely, if the integral (0.2) is divergent, then there is a function in $\text{Loc } L_k^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that is discontinuous everywhere.

An equivalent characterization in terms of Lorentz spaces is discussed briefly in [8]. This characterization is an easy consequence of the relation¹

$$\text{loc } L_{n/k,1} = \bigcup_{\Psi} \text{loc } L^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

where

$$\int_1^\infty \left[\frac{t}{\Psi(t)} \right]^{k/(n-k)} dt < \infty.$$

Here $L_{n/k,1}$ stands for the usual Lorentz space with parameters n/k and 1.

The aim of this paper is to study the existence of directional derivatives of functions in $L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq n/k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

We shall prove the existence a.e. of directional derivatives when the incremental quotient is evaluated through lacunary increments. One of the typical results is that if $f \in L_1^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq n$, and β is a fixed vector in the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^n , then the limit

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^k \{f(x + 2^{-k}\beta) - f(x)\} \tag{0.3}$$

exists a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n . Here k runs through the natural numbers.

This result is surprising considering the fact that f can be chosen to be discontinuous everywhere.

When calculating partial or directional derivatives through lacunary incremental quotients, the restriction on p ($p > n/k$) becomes unnecessary.

All the usual properties valid for functions in $C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are recovered if one thinks in a.e. terms.

We shall discuss the main results in the next section.

¹ See Appendix.

1. STATEMENT AND PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

We define $\Delta_{\beta,l} f(x) = \Delta_{\beta,l}^{(l)} f(x) = f(x + 2^{-l}\beta) - f(x)$, where β is a fixed vector in the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^n and l runs through the natural numbers. Likewise, we define

$$\Delta_{\beta,l}^{(m)} f(x) = \Delta_{\beta,l}(\Delta_{\beta,l}^{(m-1)} f(x)). \tag{1.1}$$

We introduce the maximal dyadic derivative

$$f_{\beta}^{*(m)}(x) = \sup_l 2^{lm} |\Delta_{\beta,l}^{(m)} f(x)|. \tag{1.2}$$

The dyadic directional derivative of order m of f at x in the direction of β is

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} 2^{lm} \Delta_{\beta,l}^{(m)} f(x) = D_{\beta}^m f(x). \tag{1.3}$$

THEOREM A. *Let $f \in L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then:*

- (i) $\|f_{\beta}^{*(k)}\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_{p,k}$, $p > 1$.
- (ii) $\text{meas} \{x : f_{\beta}^{*(k)}(x) > \lambda\} < C_1/\lambda \|f\|_{1,k} \forall \lambda > 0$.
- (iii) *If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then $D_{\beta}^k f$ exists a.e.*

Here $\|f\|_{p,k} = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \|D^{\alpha} f\|_p$, where $D^{\alpha} f$ denotes, as usual, a distributional derivative.

Proof. Following standard arguments it is enough to prove ((i) and (ii).

Without loss of generality we may assume that $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and consider the Taylor expansion of f about the point z :

$$f(s) = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k-1} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(z)}{\alpha!} (s-z)^{\alpha} + k \sum_{|\alpha|=k} (s-z)^{\alpha} \int_0^1 t^{k-1} D^{\alpha} f(s+t(z-s)) dt. \tag{1.4}$$

Consider now $\Delta_{\beta,l}^{(k)} f(x)$ and notice that

$$\Delta_{\beta,l}^{(k)} \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k-1} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(z)}{\alpha!} (x-z)^{\alpha} \right\} = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Set $x_0 = x$, $x_j = x + j2^{-l}\beta$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then:

$$|\Delta_{\beta,l}^{(k)} f(x)| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \int_0^{|z-x_j|} \rho^{k-1} \left| D^{\alpha} f \left(x_j + \rho \frac{z-x_j}{|z-x_j|} \right) \right| d\rho. \tag{1.6}$$

Integrating with respect to z over $|z - x| < 3n2^{-l}$, we obtain

$$|\Delta_{\beta,l}^{(k)} f(x)| \leq C 2^{nl} \sum_{k=0}^k \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \int_{|x-z| < 3n2^{-l}} |x-z|^{-n+k} |D^\alpha f(x_j - z)| dz. \quad (1.7)$$

The above constant C depends on the dimension only. Let $K(x)$ be $|x|^{k-n}$ if $|x| \leq 6n$ and zero otherwise. Let us introduce the auxiliary kernels $K_j(x) = K(x - j\beta)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$.

From the very definition we see that the kernels $K_j(x)$ are monotone functions of the distance from x to the fixed points $j\beta$, respectively.

Also the kernels $K_j(x)$ belong to $L \log^+ L$ over their support.

An application of Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4 in [4] completes the proof on account of the estimate

$$|2^{kl} \Delta_{\beta,l}^{(k)} f(x)| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \sum_{j=0}^k \int 2^{nl} K_j(2^l(x - y)) |D^\alpha f(y)| dy. \quad (1.8)$$

2. RELATED RESULTS

The existence of mixed derivatives is an easy consequence of Theorem A provided the derivatives are calculated through lacunary increments. Namely:

$$D_{\beta_1 \beta_2}^2 = D_{\beta_2}^1 (D_{\beta_1}^1 f).$$

In the case of Bessel Potential Spaces $\mathcal{L}_\alpha^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (using the notation of [7]) we define

$$f_\beta^{*(\alpha)}(x) = \sup_l 2^{\alpha l} |\Delta_{\beta,l}^{(k)} f(x)|, \quad (2.1)$$

$$f^{**(\alpha)}(x) = \sup_\beta \sup_l 2^{\alpha l} |\Delta_{\beta,l}^{(k)} f(x)|,$$

where k is the least integer $\geq \alpha$; $1 \leq \alpha < n$. We have in this case the inequality

$$\|f_\beta^{*(\alpha)}\|_p < C_p \|f\|_{p,\alpha}, \quad 1 < p < \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

If $n - 1 < \alpha < n$, we have

$$\|f^{**(\alpha)}\|_p < C_p \|f\|_{p,\alpha}, \quad 1 < p < \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

$\|f\|_{p,\alpha}$ denotes the Bessel Potential norm introduced in [7].

The proofs of (2.2) and (2.3) are an easy consequence of the representation of Bessel Potentials, using minor modifications of Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4 of [4].

APPENDIX

Proof of

$$\text{loc } L_{n/k,1} = \bigcup_{\Psi} \text{loc } L^{\Psi}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (\text{A1})$$

where

$$\int_1^{\infty} \left[\frac{t}{\Psi(t)} \right]^{k/(n-k)} dt < \infty.$$

An equivalent result is

THEOREM. *Let $g(r)$ be positive, decreasing and continuous in $(0, \infty)$. If $0 < \alpha < n$, then*

$$\int_0^1 g(r) r^{\alpha-n} r^{n-1} dr < \infty \quad (\text{A2})$$

if and only if there exists a convex $\Psi(t) \geq 0$, $t > 0$, such that

$$\int_0^1 \Psi(g) r^{n-1} dr < \infty, \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\int_1^{\infty} \left[\frac{t}{\Psi(t)} \right]^{\alpha/(n-\alpha)} dt < \infty.$$

Proof. Let $g(r)$ be continuous for $r > 0$, decreasing and such that

$$\int_0^1 g(r) r^{\alpha-n} r^{n-1} dr < \infty. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Let $\theta(s)$ be a positive convex function such that

$$\theta(r^{\alpha-n}) \sim g(r) r^{\alpha-n} \quad \text{for } r \rightarrow 0^+ \quad (\text{A5})$$

(namely, $g(r) r^{\alpha-n}/\theta(r^{\alpha-n})$ and its reciprocal are bounded as $r \rightarrow 0^+$).

Clearly we have

$$\int_0^1 \theta(r^{\alpha-n}) r^{n-1} dr < \infty. \quad (\text{A6})$$

If Ψ is the conjugate of θ in the Orlicz sense, then

$$\int_1^\infty \left[\frac{t}{\Psi(t)} \right]^{\alpha/(n-\alpha)} dt < \infty \quad (\text{A7})$$

(for details see [3, Lemma e, p. 288]).

We have constructed θ to satisfy

$$\theta(r^{\alpha-n})/r^{\alpha-n} \sim g(r), \quad r \rightarrow 0^+; \quad (\text{A8})$$

but $\theta(s) s^{-1} \sim \theta'(s)$, which gives

$$\Psi'(g(r)) \sim r^{\alpha-n}, \quad r \rightarrow 0^+ \quad (\text{A9})$$

(because θ' and Ψ' are inverses of each other). On the one hand we have

$$\Psi'(g(r)) g(r) \sim r^{\alpha-n} g(r), \quad r \rightarrow 0^+, \quad (\text{A10})$$

while on the other hand

$$\Psi'(s) s \sim \Psi(s), \quad s \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A11})$$

Thus

$$\int_0^1 g(r) r^{\alpha-n} r^{n-1} dr < \infty \quad (\text{A12})$$

implies

$$\int_0^1 \Psi(g) r^{n-1} dr < \infty.$$

This proves one direction. The other follows from Lemma d in [3, p. 289].

For further details the reader is advised to consult [3].

REFERENCES

1. A. P. CALDERON, On the differentiability of absolutely continuous functions, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma* **2** (1951), 203–214.
2. C. P. CALDERON, E. B. FABES, AND N. M. RIVIÈRE, Maximal smoothing operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **23** (10) (1974), 889–898.
3. C. P. CALDERON AND J. LEWIS, Maximal operators and some Orlicz classes, *Stud. Math.* **51** (1976), 285–296.
4. C. P. CALDERON, Lacunary spherical means, III, *J. Math.* **23** (1979), 476–486.

5. JU. G. REŠETNJAK, Generalized derivatives and differentiability almost everywhere, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **7** (5) (1966), 1273–1275.
6. J. SERRIN, On the differentiability of functions of several variables, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **7** (1961), 359–372.
7. E. M. STEIN, “Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions in \mathbb{R}^n ,” Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1970.
8. E. M. STEIN, Editor’s note: The differentiability of functions in \mathbb{R}^n , *Ann. of Math.* **113** (1981), 383–385.
9. W. STEPANOV, Sur les conditions de l’existence de la différentielle totale, *Rec. Math. Soc. Moscow* **32** (1925), 511–526.